

# JCCL - 2008

## SUMMARY TABLE OF CONTENTS

Preface .....	iii
Table of Cases .....	xi
<b>Control of Experts: When Theory Clashes with Reality</b> – <i>John H. Hinchey</i> .....	1
<b>Party Appointed Expert Witnesses in International Arbitration</b> – <i>Doug Jones</i> .....	13
<b>Principles and Methods of Calculation of Impact Damages: The Australian Position</b> – <i>Doug Jones</i> .....	39
<b><i>Force Majeure</i> Clauses in Construction Contracts</b> – <i>Lowell A. Westersund</i> .....	67
<b>Allocating Risks of Terrorism and Pandemic Pestilence: <i>Force Majeure</i> for an Unfriendly World</b> – <i>Patrick J. O'Connor</i> .....	87
<b>Product Liability in Quebec: Good Faith and the Presumption of Knowledge</b> – <i>Gregory B. Bordan</i> .....	125
<b>La responsabilité pour les produits défectueux au Québec : la bonne foi et la présomption de connaissance</b> – <i>Gregory B. Bordan</i> .....	137



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Control of Experts: When Theory Clashes with Reality .....	1
1. Introduction .....	2
2. Real Science vs. Junk Science .....	4
3. The Scooter Libby Trial .....	6
4. The English Concept of Experts' Role .....	7
5. The U.S. Concept of Experts' Role .....	9
6. The Canadian Concept of Experts' Role .....	10
7. Questions for Consideration .....	11
Party Appointed Expert Witnesses in International Arbitration .....	13
1. Introduction .....	15
2. The Woolf Report .....	15
2.1 Post Woolf: Reform in the U.K. ....	16
2.2 Reform in Australia .....	17
3. The Independence of Experts .....	17
3.1 Federal and Supreme Courts .....	19
3.2 The IBA Rules on Evidence .....	21
3.3 The Chartered Institute of Arbitrators Draft Protocol .....	21
4. Limiting the Differences .....	23
4.1 Exchange of Draft Reports .....	23
4.2 Joint Conferences .....	24
4.3 Application to Arbitration .....	26
5. Methods of Giving Evidence .....	28
6. Potential Areas for Reform .....	30
6.1 Evidence by Leave .....	30
6.2 A Clear Statement of Independence .....	32
6.3 Weight .....	33
6.4 Transparency .....	34
6.5 Processes for Conformance of Views .....	35
6.6 Single and Court Appointed Experts .....	35
7. Conclusion .....	37
Principles and Methods of Calculation of Impact Damages: The Australian Position .....	39
1. Introduction .....	42
2. Basis of Entitlement to Damages .....	43
2.1 Specific Contractual Provisions .....	43
2.1.1 The Australian Standard Forms of Contract .....	44
(a) AS 2124-1992 and AS 4300-1995 .....	44
(b) PC-1-1998 .....	44
(c) Defence Head Contract .....	45

(d) FIDIC General Conditions of Contract 1999 .....	45
2.1.2 The Significance of the Words Used in the Contract .....	46
2.1.3 Security of Payment Legislation .....	47
2.2 General Law of Contract .....	48
2.3 Tortious and Statutory Claims .....	50
3. Quantification of Claims .....	52
3.1 Formulae .....	53
3.2 Methods of Delay Analysis .....	56
3.2.1 Theoretical-Based Methods .....	57
3.2.2 Actual-Based Methods .....	57
3.3 Global Claims .....	59
3.4 Agreed Damages Provisions: A Solution? .....	64
4. Conclusion .....	65
<i>Force Majeure</i> Clauses in Construction Contracts .....	67
1. Introduction .....	68
2. Purpose of <i>Force Majeure</i> Clauses .....	69
3. Present <i>Force Majeure</i> Clause Usage .....	72
4. Requirements for <i>Force Majeure</i> .....	79
5. Triggering Events .....	80
6. Duration/Mitigation .....	80
7. Notice .....	83
8. Effect on Contractual Obligations .....	84
9. Conclusion .....	85
Allocating Risks of Terrorism and Pandemic Pestilence: <i>Force Majeure</i> for an Unfriendly World .....	87
1. Introduction .....	89
2. What is Terrorism? .....	91
3. Differing Risk Allocation Responses to the Events of September 11, 2001 .....	93
4. Assessing the Risks of Terrorism and Pandemic Events .....	96
4.1 Terrorism in Perspective: Some Statistics .....	96
4.2 How are Terrorism/Pandemic Risks Most Likely to Disrupt Infrastructure Development? .....	99
4.3 How Real is the Threat of a Highly Disruptive Terrorist or Pandemic Event? .....	102
5. Insuring Against Terrorism .....	109
6. Common Law Allocation of Terrorism Risk .....	111
7. Is Terrorism a <i>Force Majeure</i> Event? .....	118
8. Allocating Terrorism Risk Through <i>Force Majeure</i> Clauses ...	120

Product Liability in Quebec: Good Faith and the Presumption of Knowledge .....	125
1. Introduction .....	127
2. Factual Background .....	127
3. The Validity of Limitation of Liability Clauses in the Civil Law .....	129
4. Good Faith in Quebec's Law of Contracts .....	131
5. Conclusion .....	134
La Responsabilité Pour les Produits Defectueux au Québec: La Bonne Foi et la Présomption De Connaissance .....	137
1. Introduction .....	137
2. Les Faits .....	138
3. La Validité des Clauses Limitatives de Responsabilité en Droit Civil .....	139
4. La Bonne Foi et le Droit Civil Québécois des Obligations Contractuelles .....	142
5. Conclusion .....	145