

JCCL - 2017

Table of Contents

Contractual Indemnities in Construction Contracts: A Contractor's Perspective

Editor's Note	1
1. INTRODUCTION.....	2
2. INDEMNIFICATION PROVISIONS IN CANADIAN CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTS: A HISTORY	4
2.1 CCDC Provisions: 1974-2008	5
2.2 Today	7
3. THE BACKGROUND: RELEVANT COMMON LAW PRINCIPLES	8
3.1 Damages for Breach of Contract.....	9
3.2 The Expansion of Tort Law (in particular pure economic loss torts).....	16
3.2.1 <i>Negligent misrepresentation</i>	20
3.2.2 <i>Negligent performance of a service</i>	21
3.2.3 <i>An indemnification provision compared against the tort law background</i>	23
3.3 Concurrent Liability.....	28
3.4 Privity of Contract	31
3.5 Relevant Statutory Considerations	37
3.5.1 <i>Statutes of limitation</i>	37
3.5.2 <i>Workers compensation</i>	39
3.5.3 <i>Contributory negligence statutes</i>	46
4. WHY INCLUDE AN INDEMNIFICATION PROVISION? ..	48
4.1 To Explain the “Background”	48
4.2 To Deviate From the “Background”	49
5. CONCLUSION.....	52

The Intersection between Construction Law and First Nations Consultation

Editor’s Note 53

1. THE GENERAL DUTY OF CONSULTATION..... 54

 1.1 The Origins and Basis of the Duty 54

 1.2 The Content of the Duty to Consult 57

2. UNDERSTANDING THE TRIGGERS OF CONSULTATION IN THE CONTEXT OF A CONSTRUCTION PROJECT 59

 2.1 The Type of Conduct that Can Trigger a Duty 59

 2.2 What Authorities May be Subject to the Duty..... 61

3. THE IMPLICATIONS OF THE DUTY OF CONSULTATION FOR THE CONSTRUCTION SECTOR 63

 3.1 The Uncertainty of the Process 64

 3.2 Ongoing Duty of Consultation 67

4. CONCLUSION..... 71

Impact Cost Claims Related to Delays and to Acceleration of Work Under Quebec Construction Law

Editor’s Note 73

1. INTRODUCTION..... 74

2. SUMMARY OVERVIEW OF LIABILITY RESULTING FROM DELAYS 77

 2.1 The Burden of Proof and its Reversal..... 77

 2.2 Compensable Delays and Acceleration..... 79

 2.3 Disruption 80

3. DELAYS AND ACCELERATION: AN INVENTORY OF DAMAGE-RELATED JARGON 80

 3.1 The Three (3) Categories of Construction Contract Costs 80

 3.1.1 *Direct costs*..... 81

 3.1.2 *General worksite costs*..... 81

3.1.3	<i>Head office costs</i>	81
3.1.4	<i>Only three (3) categories of costs exist</i>	82
3.2	Impact Costs: Moving Toward a Definition	84
3.2.1	<i>Definitions related to the meaning of the word “impact”</i>	84
3.2.2	<i>Definitions related to the number of events having caused additional costs/Definitions related to the specific nature of the analysis of causality</i>	86
3.2.3	<i>Definitions relating to the nature of incidents that have caused additional costs</i>	87
3.2.4	<i>Definition related to the nature of damages</i>	88
3.2.5	<i>Definition proposed by the author in 2012</i>	89
3.2.6	<i>Use of the term “loss of productivity”</i>	90
3.2.7	<i>Definition retained by the Court of Appeal</i>	91
3.3	Conclusion: The Use of Two Different Terminologies Makes Overlap Possible	92
4.	THE PARTICULARITIES OF GRANTING AND CALCULATING DAMAGES IN CLAIMS FOR IMPACT COSTS RESULTING FROM DELAYS OR ACCELERATION	93
4.1	Notices, Change Orders and Releases	95
4.2	Apportionment of Risk Provisions Related to Delays	100
5.	CONCLUSION	101

Show Me the Money: Early Release of Holdbacks in Canada

	Editor’s Note	103
1.	INTRODUCTION	103
1.1	Overview of Lien Legislation, Holdbacks and Early Release	104
2.	PART I: PROCEDURAL FEATURES OF EARLY RELEASE LEGISLATION IN CANADA	108
2.1	Overview	108

2.2	Eastern Provinces: Newfoundland and Labrador, Prince Edward Island, New Brunswick	111
2.3	Ontario and Nova Scotia.....	114
2.4	Prairie Provinces: Manitoba, Saskatchewan, Alberta.....	115
2.5	British Columbia	118
3.	PART II: PRACTICAL APPLICATION OF EARLY RELEASE PROCEDURES.....	124
3.1	Overview.....	124
3.2	Advantages and Disadvantages.....	124
3.3	Practical Application and Case Law.....	127
4.	CONCLUSION.....	133
	REFERENCES	133

Liquidated Damages: Canadian Adoption, Divergence and the Necessity for Restatement

	Editor's Note	139
1.	INTRODUCTION.....	140
2.	THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE LAW OF STIPULATED DAMAGES	141
2.1	Origin of the Penalty Rule.....	141
2.2	Canadian Adoption of <i>Dunlop</i>	144
2.3	Canadian Divergence from English Doctrine	145
2.3.1	<i>Thermidaire</i>	145
2.3.2	<i>Elsley and the rise of unconscionability</i>	146
3.	SHOULD THE PENALTY RULE REMAIN OPERABLE IN CANADIAN LAW?	147
3.1	Freedom of Contract	148
3.1.1	<i>Freedom of contract is not absolute</i>	149
3.1.2	<i>Freedom of contract is a legal fiction</i>	149
3.2	Penalties as an Improvident Bargain	151
3.3	Unconscionability Applied to Stipulated Damages	152

3.3.1 *An incorrect interpretation of Elsley* 152

3.3.2 *The meaning of oppression*..... 152

3.3.3 *Improper application of unconscionability*..... 154

3.3.4 *Should unconscionability replace the penalty rule?* 155

3.3.5 *Unconscionability not displaced by the penalty rule* 159

4. THE DECISION OF THE UKSC IN *CAVENDISH*..... 160

4.1 Introduction 160

4.2 Background..... 160

4.3 Distillation of *Cavendish* 161

4.3.1 *Dismantling of the classic approach* 161

4.3.2 *Framing the question with the penalty rule* 162

4.3.3 *What constitutes a penalty?* 162

4.3.4 *What constitutes a legitimate interest?*..... 163

4.3.5 *The relationship between the parties* 165

4.3.6 *Summarizing the Cavendish approach*..... 165

5. WHAT PATH SHOULD CANADIAN COURTS TAKE?... 165

5.1 Clarity is Required 165

5.2 Recommendations for the Path Forward..... 166

5.2.1 *Should the penalty rule remain operative?*..... 166

5.2.2 *The role of unconscionability in the stipulated damages analysis* 167

5.2.3 *Recasting the penalty rule*..... 168

6. CONCLUSION..... 169

Fiduciary Duties in Construction Projects: Much Higher Than Good Faith

Editor’s Note 171

1. INTRODUCTION..... 171

2. EVOLUTION OF CONSTRUCTION PROJECT STRUCTURES..... 172

3.	GOOD FAITH DISTINGUISHED FROM FIDUCIARY DUTIES	174
4.	OVERVIEW OF FIDUCIARY DUTIES	177
5.	THREE CASES TO ADDRESS FIDUCIARY DUTIES.....	178
5A.	CARMEL PHARMACY v. TRI CITY	179
5B.	REICHMANN v. VERED	187
5C.	ADI v. WCI.....	197
6.	SOME CONCLUSIONS ABOUT FIDUCIARY DUTIES IN CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS.....	205

Zen and the Art of Geotechnical Risk Allocation

Editor's Note	207	
1.	INTRODUCTION.....	207
2.	THE OWNER'S PERSPECTIVE:	208
3.	THE CONTRACTOR'S PERSPECTIVE:	208
4.	THE SOLUTION?	209
5.	A BETTER APPROACH?.....	211
6.	CONCLUSION.....	214

Modernizing Construction Trusts: An Analytical Review of the Efficacy of Statutory Deemed Construction Trusts

Editor's Note	215	
1.	INTRODUCTION.....	215
2.	THE PURPOSE OF TRUST PROVISIONS IN THE <i>CONSTRUCTION LIEN ACT</i>	216
2.1	What Benefits are Provided by the Statutory Trust Provisions?	218
3.	THE EFFICACY OF CONSTRUCTION TRUSTS	218
3.1	Construction Trusts in a Bankruptcy Proceeding.....	219
3.2	Co-Mingling of Trust Assets	223
3.3	Improving the <i>Construction Lien Act</i>	224

4. CONCLUSION..... 225

Index..... 227

