

JCCL - 2010

Summary Table of Contents

Preface	v
Table of Contents	xi
Table of Cases	xvii
Concurrency Causation Common Sense and Compensation – <i>Andrew Stephenson and Ian Bailey</i>	1
<i>Progressive Homes</i> versus <i>Bridgewood</i>: A B.C. Perspective on the Battle for Coverage in Construction Claims under Comprehensive General Liability Insurance Policies – <i>Kerry A. Short and Douglas G. Morrison</i>	71
Construction Defects and Completed Operations Liability Insurance: What the Supreme Court of Canada Ought to Decide in <i>Progressive Homes Ltd. v. Lombard General Insurance Co. of Canada</i> – <i>Donald C.I. Lucky</i>	93
Consequential Damages: Are Exclusions of Consequential Damages Inconsequential? – <i>E. Jane Sidnell</i>	109
“A Time for Every Matter Under Heaven”: Construction Defect Claims, Shorter Limitation Periods and Discoverability – <i>Kenneth Crofoot</i>	131
Qui Paie Qui, Quand, Comment et Pourquoi? L’Ordre de Priorité des Créanciers de la Faillite, Selon L’Arrêt Daltech Architectural Inc. – <i>Dominique Zaurrini</i>	165
Reflections on the Evolution of Fairness in Public Procurement – <i>Glenn W. Ackerley</i>	187
A Review of the Quebec Act <i>Respecting Contracting by Public Bodies,</i> and its <i>Regulation Respecting Construction Contracts of Public Bodies</i> – <i>Bernard P. Quinn</i>	235
<i>The Construction Procurement Act: A Draft Bill</i> – <i>Christopher Wu</i>	251

Table of Contents

Concurrency Causation Common Sense and Compensation

Editor’s Note	1
Concurrency Causation Common Sense and Compensation — Part 1	4
1. INTRODUCTION	4
2. OBLIGATION TO COMPLETE BY A PARTICULAR DATE	5
3. PROPERLY DRAWN CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTS MUST GIVE CONTRACTOR RIGHT TO EXTENSION OF TIME	5
4. RIGHT OF CONTRACTOR TO COMPLETE EARLY	6
(a) General Law Rights of the Contractor to Complete Early	6
(b) PC-1	8
(c) FIDIC Contracts — DBO	9
(d) JCTSBC	10
(e) PSSCOC	10
5. IF CONTRACTOR PLANNED TO COMPLETE EARLY AND CAN STILL DO SO, IF DELAYED BY EXCUSABLE DELAY, IS IT ENTITLED TO EXTENSION OF TIME?	11
(a) Right to Extension of Time Where Contractor’s Program Anticipates Early Completion or Shows Float	12
(b) PC-1	13
(c) FIDIC — DBO	14
(d) JCTSBC	14
(e) PSSCOC	15
(f) Methods of Assessing Delay to Completion	16
6. CONFLICT BETWEEN <i>BROMPTON HOSPITAL AND CITY INN</i>	20
7. TYPES OF CONCURRENCY	24
(a) Contemporaneously Concurrent Causes of Delay	25
(b) Non-Contemporaneously Concurrent	27
8. COMMON LAW APPROACH TO CAUSATION	30
9. APPLICATION OF CONTRIBUTORY NEGLIGENCE LEGISLATION	37
10. CONSTRUCTION CASES DEALING WITH CONCURRENT CAUSES OF DELAY	38
11. APPORTIONING BETWEEN CONCURRENT CAUSES	43
12. CONCLUSION	44
Concurrency Causation Common Sense and Compensation — Part 2	46
13. ENTITLEMENT TO AND ASSESSMENT OF COMPENSATION FOR DELAY TO COMPLETION	46
14. PRESUMED GENERAL CONTRACTUAL INTENTION AND RISK ALLOCATION	48
(a) As to Owner’s Interests	48
(b) As to Contractor’s Interests	49
(c) Interrelationship and Term	50
15. DAMAGES FOR LATE COMPLETION—UNDERLYING UNCERTAINTIES	51

(a)	Loss of Liquidated Damages as a Consequence of Prevention	51
(b)	Operation of Time Bars and Prevention	53
16.	REMEDY AND CAUSATION-BASED ANALYSIS OF COMPENSATION FOR DELAY	55
17.	CONCLUSION	58
	Attachment 1 — Clause 10 PC-1	59
	Attachment 2 — DBO 8.3	63
	Attachment 3 — PSSLOC 9.1	64
	Attachment 4 — DBO 9.2	65
	Attachment 5 — DBO 9.3	65
	Attachment 6 — JCT 2.9	66
	Attachment 7 — JCT 2.26	66
	Attachment 8 — JCT 2.27	67
	Attachment 9 — JCT 2.28	67
	Attachment 10 — JCT 2.29	68
	Attachment 11 — JCT 2.31	68
	Attachment 12 — Clause 14.1 (PSSCOC)	69
	Attachment 13 — Clause 14.2 (PSSCOC)	69
	Attachment 14 — PSSCOC 9.2	70

Progressive Homes vs. Bridgewood: A B.C. Perspective on the Battle for Coverage in Construction Claims under Comprehensive General Liability Insurance Policies

	Editor’s Note	71
1.	INTRODUCTION	72
2.	NATURE OF THE UNDERLYING CLAIMS	73
(a)	Nature of Damage Arising from Construction Defects	73
(b)	Usual Form of Pleadings in Relation to the Nature of the Damage	73
(c)	Usual Policy Wording	75
3.	GENERAL PRINCIPLES OF INTERPRETATION OF INSURANCE PROVISIONS	75
4.	APPLICATION OF INTERPRETATION PRINCIPLES IN THE AUTHORITIES	77
(a)	The Purpose of CGL Policies and Policy Interpretation	78
(b)	The “Complex Structure Theory”	81
(c)	Approach to the Consideration of Coverage	84
(d)	Redundancy	86
(e)	Cost of Repair and the Insuring Agreement	87
(i)	“Economic Loss” and “Property Damage”	88
(ii)	Cases Applying the “Nature of the Claim” Analysis ..	89
(iii)	Cases Applying the “Extrinsic Act” Analysis	90
(iv)	Accident or Occurrence	91
(v)	Summary of B.C. Law on the Insuring Agreement	91
5.	CONCLUSION	91

TABLE OF CONTENTS xiii

**Construction Defects and Completed Operations Liability Insurance:
What the Supreme Court of Canada Ought to Decide in *Progressive
Homes Ltd. v. Lombard General Insurance Co. of Canada***

Editor's Note	93
1. INTRODUCTION	94
2. <i>PROGRESSIVE HOMES LTD. v. LOMBARD GENERAL INSURANCE CO. OF CANADA</i>	96
(a) Basic Facts	96
(b) The Relevant Policy Language	96
3. IMPORTANT CASE LAW FROM OUTSIDE BRITISH COLUMBIA	97
(a) Saskatchewan	97
(b) Ontario	98
(c) Nova Scotia	100
4. PRE- <i>PROGRESSIVE HOMES</i> CASELAW FROM BRITISH COLUMBIA	101
(a) <i>F.W. Hearn/Actes—A Joint Venture Ltd. v. Commonwealth Insurance Co</i>	101
(b) <i>Swagger Construction v. ING Insurance Company</i>	101
5. <i>PROGRESSIVE HOMES LTD. v. LOMBARD GENERAL INSURANCE CO. OF CANADA</i>	102
(a) Decision of British Columbia Supreme Court	102
(b) Decision of British Columbia Court of Appeal	102
(i) <i>Correct Statement of Principles</i>	102
(ii) <i>Disagreement Respecting Application of the Principles to the CGL Policies at Issue</i>	104
6. CONCLUSION	106

**Consequential Damages: Are Exclusions of Consequential Damages
Inconsequential?**

Editor's Note	109
1. INTRODUCTION	109
2. ORIGINS OF THE RULE	110
3. APPLICATION OF THE RULE AND THE DETERMINATION OF DIRECT VS. INDIRECT DAMAGES	113
4. A NEW APPROACH	122
(a) Limitation of Foreseeable Damages	122
(b) A Move to Revert to the Commonly Understood Meaning of "Consequential Damages"	125
5. PITFALLS IN DRAFTING	127
6. CONCLUSION	129

**"A Time for Every Matter Under Heaven": Construction Defect
Claims, Shorter Limitation Periods and Discoverability**

Editor's Note	131
1. INTRODUCTION	132

2.	LIMITATION PERIODS AND DISCOVERABILITY	132
3.	CONTRACTS AND DISCOVERABILITY	137
	(a) CCDC Contracts	138
	(b) Contract Limitation Periods and Discoverability	140
4.	REVIEW OF SOME ISSUES THAT ARISE IN CONSIDERING DISCOVERABILITY	142
	(a) Multiple Claims and Discoverability	143
	(b) Reasonable Dilligence By Whom — Subjective or Objective Assessment?	145
	(c) Reasonable Diligence — Identifying Defendants	148
	(d) Reasonable Diligence — Assessing the Merits of the Prospective Proceeding	149
	(e) Reasonable Diligence — Consulting Experts	150
	(f) Due Diligence and Continuous Deterioration	152
5.	CONCLUSION	154
	Appendix “A” — Canadian Limitations Statues — Selected Excerpts	156

**Qui Paie Qui, Quand, Comment et Pourquoi? L’Ordre de Priorité des
Créanciers de la Faillite, Selon L’Arrêt Daltech Architectural Inc.**

	Reviewer’s Note	165
1.	INTRODUCTION	168
2.	L’ORIGINE DU LITIGE	168
	(a) Les faits	168
	(b) Les procédures en première instance	170
3.	LE JUGEMENT DE LA COUR SUPÉRIEURE	170
4.	LES POSITIONS DES PARTIES DEVANT LA COUR D’APPEL :	172
	(a) Position du Syndic	173
	(b) Position de l’entrepreneur général	175
	(c) Position de l’Association de la construction du Québec	179
	(d) Position du Centre Hospitalier Pierre-Boucher	180
	(e) Position de Portronik A.T., mise en cause forcée	180
5.	LA DÉCISION DE LA COUR D’APPEL	181
6.	CONCLUSION	185

Reflections on the Evolution of Fairness in Public Procurement

	Editor’s Note	187
1.	INTRODUCTION	188
2.	THE NATURE OF THE PROBLEM	189
3.	EXAMPLES OF UNCERTAINTY	190
	(a) The “Eye of the Beholder”	190
	(b) The “Chicken or the Egg”	192
	(c) “Do as I Say, Not as I Do”	194
4.	“Fairness” as a Contractual Term	196
	(a) <i>Ron Engineering</i>	196
	(b) Fairness and the Privilege Clause	197
	(c) Fairness and Non-Compliance	202

TABLE OF CONTENTS xv

(d) Fairness and <i>Double N Earthmovers</i>	208
(e) Fairness and <i>Tercon</i>	210
(f) Fairness as an Implied Term	213
5. FAIRNESS IN REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS	216
6. FAIRNESS AS A TORT DUTY	220
7. FAIRNESS AND JUDICIAL REVIEW	223
8. CONCLUSION	230
Author's Note	232

***A Review of the Quebec Act Respecting Contracting by Public Bodies,
and its Regulation Respecting Construction Contracts of Public Bodies***

Editor's Note	235
1. INTRODUCTION	235
2. LEGISLATIVE HISTORY	236
3. UNDERLYING OBJECTIVE OF THE ACT AND PURVIEW	236
4. CITIES AND TOWNS: A PRESENT SHORTCOMING	238
5. ADOPTION OF A POLICY BY THE RESPONSIBLE MINISTER AND OF AN INTERNAL IMPLEMENTATION POLICY BY THE PUBLIC BODY	239
6. FUNDAMENTAL PRINCIPLES OF THE LAW OF TENDERING IN QUEBEC	239
7. CONTRACT AWARD MECHANISMS	242
(a) Public Calls for Tenders	242
(b) Emergencies and Other Exceptions to the Requirement of a Public Call for Tenders	245
(c) Contracts Involving Expenditure Below the Public Tender Threshold	245
8. JOINT CALLS FOR TENDERS BY PUBLIC BODIES UNDER SECTIONS 15 AND 16	246
9. OTHER PROVISIONS	247
10. SE@O	247
11. JUDICIAL CONTROL OF THE TENDERING PROCESS IN SPECIAL CASES: A NEW LEGAL AVENUE?	248

The Construction Procurement Act: A Draft Bill

Editor's Note	251
INTRODUCTION AND GENERAL COMMENTS	251
PART I — FORMATION OF THE CONSTRUCTION BID CONTRACT	252
PART II — EVALUATION OF THE CONSTRUCTION BID CONTRACT	254
CONCLUDING COMMENTS	256
Index	257